



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO TRIÂNGULO MINEIRO  
PRÓ-REITORIA DE PESQUISA E PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO  
CURSO DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM CIÊNCIAS FISIOLÓGICAS

Beatriz Virgínia da Silva

Distribuição, fatores de virulência e suscetibilidade a antifúngicos de isolados clínicos do complexo *Candida parapsilosis* em Uberaba – MG.

Uberaba  
2014

Beatriz Virgínia da Silva

Distribuição, fatores de virulência e suscetibilidade a antifúngicos de isolados clínicos do complexo *Candida parapsilosis* em Uberaba – MG.

Dissertação apresentada ao curso de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Fisiológicas da Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, como requisito parcial para a obtenção do título de mestre em Ciências Fisiológicas. Área de Concentração II: Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia.  
Orientador: Prof. Dr. Anderson Assunção Andrade

Uberaba

2014

*Dedico essa dissertação aos meus pais Antônio e Lazara e ao meu esposo Christiano, por tornarem essa caminhada mais amena.*

## AGRADECIMENTOS

Em primeiro lugar, agradeço a Deus, por estar comigo em todos os momentos da minha vida e pelo amparo em momentos difíceis. A Ele, toda honra e glória por mais esta etapa concluída.

Aos meus pais, Antônio Donizete da Silva e Lazara Maria Parreira da Silva, por todo exemplo ministrado durante a minha existência, por me apoiar incondicionalmente e por me incentivar a seguir a carreira acadêmica. Ao meu esposo Christiano Herbert da Silva, pela paciência, amor, compreensão e por sempre me estender sua mão para me ajudar ao longo dessa caminhada. A todos os meus familiares que, de alguma forma, contribuíram para essa vitória.

Ao meu orientador Prof. Dr. Anderson Assunção Andrade, pela oportunidade oferecida, pela orientação deste estudo, por sempre estar disposto a me ajudar em qualquer situação e, principalmente, por enriquecer meu conhecimento com sua sabedoria, paciência e pelo exemplo de profissional.

À doutoranda Larissa Beatriz Silva, pela amizade, pelo auxílio nos experimentos, companheirismo e apoio que muito contribui para esta vitória.

Ao mestrando Diego Batista Carneiro de Oliveira, pela amizade, pela disponibilidade em ajudar, companheirismo e apoio na realização deste trabalho.

Ao doutorando Leonardo Eurípedes de A. e Silva, pela parceria nas bancadas, pelos ensinamentos, pela disponibilidade e pelos esforços em me auxiliar quando mais precisei.

Ao biomédico Dr. Kennio Ferreira Paim, pelos ensinamentos, pela confiança e pela disponibilidade em ajudar em todos os momentos.

Ao Prof. Dr. Mário Léon Silva-Vergara, pelos ensinamentos e apoio na realização deste trabalho.

Ao Prof. Dr. Paulo Roberto da Silva, pelo apoio ao trabalho.

Ao Prof. Dr. Valdo José Dias, por todos os esforços para a manutenção dessa Pós-graduação, por sua competência profissional e entusiasmo pela pesquisa científica.

A todos os professores dessa Pós-Graduação que, de alguma forma, contribuíram para meu aprendizado.

À bibliotecária e também secretária da Pós-graduação, Elizabeth Perez Caramori Ambrósio, pela disposição em ajudar em todos os momentos, com sua alegria contagiante.

Aos colegas do Laboratório de Microbiologia e Micologia da UFTM, Sônia, Luciene, Fernanda, Jaqueline, Suely, Bruno, Tatiana e Verônica, pelos bons momentos e trocas de experiências.

A CAPES-REUNI pelos incentivos à pesquisa. À FAPEMIG e FUNEPU pelos recursos disponibilizados para a realização desse projeto.

A todos que, direta ou indiretamente, contribuíram com a realização deste trabalho.

*“A minha alma espera somente em Deus; dele vem a minha salvação.”*

*Salmos 62:1.*

## RESUMO

Nos últimos anos tem havido um aumento na incidência de infecções humanas causadas por membros do complexo *Candida parapsilosis*. Este complexo é formado por três espécies distintas, mas intimamente relacionadas: *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis*. Estas espécies são fisiologicamente e morfologicamente indistinguíveis e por isso são discriminadas apenas por meio de técnicas moleculares. Considerando o pouco conhecimento sobre a distribuição, propriedades de virulência e perfil de suscetibilidade a antifúngicos das espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis*, nossos objetivos foram: 1) determinar pela técnica de PCR-RFLP a ocorrência de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis* entre 81 isolados clínicos primariamente identificados como *C. parapsilosis* por meio de métodos fenotípicos; 2) avaliar a capacidade dos isolados formarem biofilmes e produzirem proteases e fosfolipases; e 3) comparar o perfil de suscetibilidade entre estes isolados crescidos como culturas planctônicas ou como biofilmes formados *in vitro*, aos antifúngicos anfotericina B, fluconazol, voriconazol e caspofungina, seguindo as normas do CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). Setenta e sete isolados (95%) foram identificados como *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, 2 (2,5%) como *C. orthopsilosis* e os outros 2 (2,5%) como *C. metapsilosis*. Atividade de proteases foi detectada em 37,7% das amostras de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, enquanto somente 9,1% apresentaram atividade de fosfolipases. Nenhuma das amostras de *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis* foi capaz de produzir proteases ou fosfolipases. A capacidade de produzir biofilmes foi detectada em 43,2% das amostras, entre as quais 33 eram *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* e 2 eram *C. orthopsilosis*. Isolados com perfil de resistência a antifúngicos foram incomuns: apenas um *C. metapsilosis* apresentou resistência ao fluconazol. Este mesmo isolado foi o único a apresentar suscetibilidade dose dependente ao voriconazol. Além disso, foi detectado um *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* com suscetibilidade dose dependente ao fluconazol. Em suma, confirmamos que *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* é a espécie do complexo *C. parapsilosis* mais comumente associada a infecções em humanos. Além disso, esta espécie foi a única que expressou todos os fatores de virulência analisados. Ao contrário, nenhum destes fatores foi detectado em *C. metapsilosis*. De modo geral, as espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* foram suscetíveis à anfotericina B, ao voriconazol e à caspofungina, e apresentaram baixo nível de resistência ao fluconazol. Contudo, os isolados produtores de biofilme mostraram acentuada resistência a estes antifúngicos, particularmente ao voriconazol.

Palavras-chave: Complexo *Candida parapsilosis*. Fatores de virulência. Formação de biofilme. Produção de proteinases e fosfolipases. Perfil de suscetibilidade a antifúngicos.



## LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS E SIGLAS

AMB - Anfotericina B

CAPD - Diálise Peritoneal Ambulatorial Contínua

CAS - Caspofungina

CIM - Concentração inibitória mínima

CLSI - Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

CNA - *Candida não-albicans*

CVV - Candidíase vulvovaginal

FLC - Fluconazol

PCR - Polymerase Chain Reaction

RFLP - Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms

SADH - Desidrogenase alcoólica secundária

SAP – Proteinase aspártica secretada

VRZ – Voriconazol

XTT- 2,3-bis (2-metoxi-4nitro-5-sulfofenil) – 5 – (fenilamnocarbonil-tetrazolium)

## SUMARIO

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| <b>1</b> | <b>CONSIDERAÇÕES GERAIS</b> .....   | 11 |
| <b>2</b> | <b>EPIDEMIOLOGIA</b> .....  | 12 |
| <b>3</b> | <b>MANIFESTAÇÕES CLÍNICAS</b> .....   | 14 |
| <b>4</b> | <b>FATORES DE VIRULÊNCIA</b> .....  | 15 |
| 4.1      | FORMAÇÕES DO BIOFILME.....  | 16 |
| 4.2      | PROTEINASES.....  | 17 |
| 4.3      | FOSFOLIPASES.....   | 18 |
| <b>5</b> | <b>ANTIFÚNGICOS</b> .....   | 19 |
| <b>6</b> | <b>CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS</b> .....   | 21 |
|          | <b>PARTICIPAÇÃO DOS CO-AUTORES</b> .....  | 22 |
|          | <b>COMPROVANTE DE SUBMISSÃO</b> .....   | 23 |
|          | <b>MANUSCRITO</b> .....   | 24 |
|          | <b>TABELA 1 - Distribution of <i>C. parapsilosis</i> complex species within different age groups, gender and sites of isolation, and their virulence properties</b> .....                 | 39 |
|          | <b>TABELA 2 - Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) distribution of antifungal drugs for planktonic and sessile (biofilm) cells of <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> complex species</b> ..... | 40 |
|          | <b>TABELA 3 - Antifungal susceptibility data (expressed in µg/ml) for <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> complex species growing in planktonic and sessile states</b> .....                      | 41 |
|          | <b>BIBLIOGRAFIA ADICIONAL</b> .....   | 42 |

## 1. CONSIDERAÇÕES GERAIS

Nas últimas três décadas observou-se um aumento da incidência de infecções fúngicas. Estes microrganismos podem causar desde infecções superficiais, afetando a pele, cabelo, unhas e membranas mucosas, até infecções sistêmicas, envolvendo a maioria dos órgãos do corpo. A severidade das infecções é influenciada por vários fatores, como a utilização de imunossuppressores, cateteres e próteses (Lass-Flör, 2009; Ruping et al., 2008).

Entre os fungos, o gênero *Candida* pertence ao grupo das leveduras, que são microrganismos unicelulares e pleomórficos. Este gênero possui cerca de 150 espécies, das quais 65% são incapazes de crescer à temperatura de 37°C, o que limita a capacidade patogênica destas espécies em seres humanos ou mesmo a possibilidade de serem comensais (Silva et al., 2012). Por outro lado, algumas espécies são componentes da microbiota da pele, do trato gastrointestinal, do trato urinário, do trato respiratório e também das cavidades oral e vulvovaginal em indivíduos saudáveis (Hossain et al., 2003).

Entre as espécies de *Candida* com potencial patogênico, *C. albicans* tem sido historicamente a espécie mais comumente recuperada de amostras clínicas, embora o número de infecções por espécies de *Candida* não-*albicans* (CNA), principalmente *Candida glabrata*, *Candida parapsilosis* e *Candida tropicalis*, tenha aumentado dramaticamente nos últimos anos (Fidel et al., 1999; Pfaller, Diekema 2007; Trofa et al., 2008; van Asbeck et al., 2009;).

O aparente aumento do envolvimento de espécies de CNA em candidíases humanas poderia ser relacionado, pelo menos em parte, ao melhoramento dos métodos diagnósticos, tais como o uso de ágar para isolamento primário com a habilidade de diferenciar as espécies de *Candida* e a introdução de técnicas de diagnóstico molecular na rotina (Liguori et al. 2009). Outros fatores poderiam ser implicados no aumento da prevalência de espécies de *Candida*, incluindo a introdução e difusão de certas práticas médicas, tais como terapia imunossupressora, o uso de antibióticos de amplo espectro, e o aumento no número de procedimentos cirúrgicos invasivos, como transplantes de órgãos (Kojic and Darouichee, 2004). Além disso, o aumento do número de espécies de *Candida* em candidíases poderia ser um reflexo da seleção de espécies na presença de certos antifúngicos, visto o maior nível de resistência demonstrada para várias espécies de CNA (Gravina et al. 2007).

Em 1928, a *C. parapsilosis* foi isolada em um paciente em Porto Rico com diarreia. Naquela época foi classificada por *Monilia parapsilosis*, sendo assim considerada do gênero *Monilia*, devido a sua incapacidade de fermentar maltose (Ashford, 1928). A espécie foi

nomeada *Monilia parapsilosis* para distinguir do isolado mais comum, *Monilia psilosis*, conhecida hoje como *C. albicans* (Trofa et al., 2008).

Tradicionalmente, essa espécie era separada em três grupos, I, II e III, de acordo com dados fenotípicos e moleculares (Lott et al., 1993), até que em 2005 Tavanti e colaboradores, com base em estudos genéticos moleculares, propuseram a separação dos grupos em distintas espécies intimamente relacionadas. Os grupos II e III foram transformados em *Candida orthopsilosis* e *Candida metapsilosis*, respectivamente, enquanto o grupo I permaneceu como *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*. Os autores demonstraram que tal separação pode ser baseada na análise de polimorfismo de restrição do gene que codifica a desidrogenase alcoólica secundária (SADH), o qual é comum para todas as três espécies (Tavanti et al., 2005).

Quanto à sua morfologia, as células do complexo *C. parapsilosis* se apresentam de diversas formas, ovais, redondas e cilíndricas, sendo as colônias lisas ou rugosas. Quando cultivadas em ágar sabouraud dextrose, as colônias são brancas, cremosas, brilhantes e lisas ou enrugadas. Essa espécie não produz hifas verdadeiras, mas pode apresentar pseudo-hifas que são caracteristicamente grandes e curvas, sendo consideradas como células gigantes (Trofa et al., 2008).

## 2. EPIDEMIOLOGIA

*C. parapsilosis* é encontrada na natureza, não sendo considerada como um patógeno humano obrigatório, tendo sido isolada em animais domésticos, solo e em ambientes marinhos (Fell et al., 1967; Bernardis et al., 1999; Kuhn et al., 2004; Tosun et al., 2013; Weems et al., 1992).

Dentre as CNA, é um dos mais importantes agentes causadores de infecções fúngicas, e sua prevalência vem aumentando significativamente a cada ano (Silva et al., 2011; Trofa et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2014). É comum em ambientes hospitalares, sendo a segunda espécie de *Candida* mais isolada em amostras de hemocultura (Almirante et al 2006; Pfaller e Diekema, 2007; Trofa et al., 2008). É um patógeno oportunista, principalmente entre os recém-nascidos e pacientes imunodeprimidos (Almirante et al 2006; Pfaller e Diekema, 2007; Roilides et al., 2004; Safdar et al., 2002; Trofa et al., 2008).

A população com o maior risco de infecções hospitalares são os neonatos de baixo peso, uma vez que estes possuem integridade da pele comprometida e são mais suscetíveis por utilizarem cateteres por longos períodos (Benjamin et al., 2004; Bonassoli et al., 2005).

Estudos mostram que a *C. parapsilosis* vem desempenhando um papel importante em micoses superficiais, em particular nas onicomicoses, sendo inclusive algumas vezes implicada como a espécie de *Candida* mais comumente associada a esta condição. Segundo Ataidés et al. (2012), em um estudo realizado em Goiânia, GO, a espécie mais frequente foi a *C. parapsilosis*, representando 53,4% das leveduras isoladas de onicomicoses. Um estudo recentemente publicado pelo nosso grupo mostrou resultados semelhantes, ou seja, uma alta prevalência de *C. parapsilosis* entre os agentes etiológicos de dermatomicoses, particularmente onicomicoses, em pacientes de Uberaba, MG (Silva et al., 2014).

Um estudo realizado entre 2004 e 2008 apontou a *C. parapsilosis* como a terceira causa de infecções invasivas, sendo que 34,8% dos casos foram associados à fungemia, e a *C. parapsilosis* foi mais prevalente em pacientes jovens com idade média de 47,8 anos (Pfaller et al., 2012).

Apesar do reconhecimento do complexo *C. parapsilosis*, até o momento pouco se sabe sobre a epidemiologia das duas espécies novas do complexo, *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis* (Lockhart et al., 2008). Apenas dois surtos foram notificados em que a *C. orthopsilosis* (*C. parapsilosis* grupo II) estava envolvida. O primeiro deles foi notificado em um hospital na cidade de San Antonio do estado americano do Texas relatado por Lin et al. (1995), onde estudos moleculares demonstraram que a maioria dos isolados estavam relacionados com o grupo II e o outro por Zancopé-Oliveira et al. (2000), que descreveram um pequeno surto dessa espécie em um hospital no Brasil.

Um estudo realizado por Tosun et al. (2013) demonstraram que os isolados de *C. metapsilosis* e *C. orthopsilosis* estavam associados a pacientes mais velhos. Estes resultados estão parcialmente de acordo com estudos anteriores que mostraram forte associação apenas de *C. orthopsilosis* com pacientes idosos ( $\geq 60$  anos) (Lockhart et al., 2008; Catón et al., 2011).

De acordo com vários estudos, dentre as espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis*, a *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* é a mais prevalente em infecções humanas. Borghi et al. (2011) demonstraram taxas de prevalência de 95%, 3,6% e 1,4% para *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis*, respectivamente, em infecções fúngicas invasivas. Feng et al. (2012) descreveram resultados semelhantes, porém para candidíase superficial, onde a *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* representou 96,5% dos isolados, seguida pela *C. metapsilosis* (2,5%) e *C. orthopsilosis* (1,0%). No mesmo ano Tosun et al. (2013) avaliaram a distribuição das espécies do complexo em amostras clínicas oriundas tanto infecções superficiais quanto de infecções profundas, e demonstraram que *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis* juntas

representavam menos de 10% dos agentes etiológicos destas infecções. Isto também foi demonstrado por Trevino-rangel et al. (2012), com as seguintes frequências de isolamento: *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* (90,4%), *C. orthopsilosis* (8,4%) e *C. metapsilosis* (1,2%).

Apesar destes dados, a caracterização do complexo *C. parapsilosis* ainda não está concluída, por isso são necessários mais estudos para compreender melhor as características, principalmente a distribuição de cada membro do complexo, especialmente *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis*.

### 3. MANIFESTAÇÕES CLÍNICAS

As espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* são responsáveis por uma ampla variedade de manifestações clínicas sendo consideradas agentes patogênicos oportunistas. As infecções causadas por estas espécies vão desde doenças invasivas, como fungemia, endocardite e peritonite que geralmente ocorrem em associação com procedimentos invasivos ou dispositivos médicos, a infecções superficiais como a onicomicose. Diversos estudos mostram estas espécies causando candidemias (Cantón et al., 2011; Garcia-Effron et al., 2012; Levy et al., 1998; Miranda et al., 2012; Romeo et al., 2012; Ruiz et al., 2013; van Asbeck et al., 2007), as quais resultam em uma taxa de mortalidade entre 4% a 45% (Trofa et al., 2008; van Asbeck et al., 2009).

A incidência de endocardites fúngicas vem aumentando ao longo das duas últimas décadas, sendo responsáveis por cerca 1,3% a 6% dos casos de endocardite infecciosa. Isso pode estar relacionado com a melhora de métodos diagnósticos e com o aumento da intensidade de terapias médicas que predisõem os pacientes às infecções fúngicas (Garzoni et al., 2007; Pierrotti et al., 2002).

As espécies de *Candida* são os agentes mais comuns envolvidos na endocardite fúngica, seguidas pelas espécies de *Aspergillus* (Abgueuen et al., 2002) e a *C. parapsilosis* é identificada em 17% dos casos, tornando-se a segunda espécie mais comum após *C. albicans* (Garzoni et al., 2007). Em relação à peritonite causada por fungo, embora incomum, está associada a pacientes submetidos à diálise peritoneal ambulatorial contínua (CAPD), tendo uma taxa de mortalidade entre 5% a 25% dos casos (Wang et al., 2000). Vários trabalhos tem relatado que a *C. parapsilosis* é a espécie predominante nestes casos (Chen et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2000; Yinnon et al., 1999).

As otomicoses constituem infecções relativamente raras que causam otite média ou externa. No entanto, quando isso ocorre, *C. parapsilosis*, é a primeira ou segunda espécie a ser isolada (Vennewald et al., 2003).

Esta espécie era raramente mencionada como um agente causador de lesões patológicas das unhas, mas nos últimos anos ganhou reconhecimento como agente etiológico mais comum causando onicomicose, devido a sua capacidade de decompor queratina (Ataides et al., 2012; Figueiredo et al., 2007; McGinley et al., 1988; Silva et al., 2014; Vermelho et al., 2010). Outros fatores podem estar relacionados com o isolamento de *C. parapsilosis* em infecções de unhas: distrofia traumática anterior e atividade de jardinagem, uma vez que esta levedura pode frequentemente ser isolada a partir do solo (Gautret et al., 2000).

Nas meningites causadas por fungos a *C. parapsilosis* é uma espécie rara, principalmente em adultos (Trofa et al., 2008; Bagheri et al., 2010). A artrite fúngica ocorre com pouca frequência e está mais relacionada com as espécies de *Candida*. Um estudo realizado em 1992 demonstrou oito casos de artrites causadas por *C. parapsilosis*, dos quais sete sofreram intervenção cirúrgica, com colocação de uma prótese articular (Weems et al., 1992).

A candidíase vulvovaginal (CVV) é uma infecção comum, afetando 70-75% das mulheres em idade fértil, podendo ser recorrente (Foxman et al., 1998). Ultimamente, CVV causada por espécies não-*albicans*, como a *C. parapsilosis*, *C. krusei* e *C. tropicalis* é cada vez mais comum devido ao uso excessivo ou até mesmo indevido de antifúngicos (Zhang et al., 2014). Além disso, existe uma grande preocupação em relação ao aumento da incidência de CVV causada por espécies de *Candida* não-*albicans*, uma vez que estas espécies são mais difíceis de ser tratadas, pois tendem a apresentar menor suscetibilidade aos azóis ou até mesmo resistência a essa classe de antifúngicos. Entre as espécies não-*albicans* a *C. parapsilosis* apresenta maior suscetibilidade aos azóis, quando comparadas com as outras espécies (Yang et al., 2005).

Entre as espécies de *Candida*, *C. parapsilosis* não é uma causa frequente de infecção urinária (Trofa et al., 2008).

#### **4. FATORES DE VIRULÊNCIA**

A patogênese das candidíases é facilitada por um conjunto de fatores de virulência presentes nas espécies de *Candida*. Apesar de importantes pesquisas objetivando identificar esses fatores de virulência, particularmente em *C. albicans*, relativamente pouco é

conhecido sobre os determinantes de virulência nas espécies de CNA (Silva et al., 2011; Haynes et al., 2001). Além disso, em relação ao complexo *C. parapsilosis*, os poucos dados existentes sugerem que as três espécies podem apresentar diferentes potenciais de virulência. Por exemplo, Orsi et al. (2010) compararam o potencial patogênico entre as espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* utilizando um modelo de infecção *in vitro* em micrógliã. Esses autores demonstraram que a *C. metapsilosis* é menos virulenta, quando comparada com as demais espécies do complexo. Mais recentemente, outro estudo realizado por Németh et al. (2013) utilizando um modelo *in vivo* com larvas de *Galleria mellonella* confirmaram a menor virulência da *C. metapsilosis* em relação às demais espécies do complexo.

Os seguintes fatores, dentre outros, são reconhecidos como determinantes para a virulência das espécies de *Candida*: habilidade de formação de biofilme, produção de proteinases e fosfolipases e resistência aos antifúngicos.

#### 4.1 FORMAÇÕES DO BIOFILME

Biofilmes são agregados de microrganismos associados a uma superfície e incrustados em uma matriz extracelular. Eles são considerados a forma mais prevalente de crescimento microbiano na natureza e frequentemente estão associados com infecções clínicas. É um importante fator de virulência para várias espécies de *Candida* (Tumbarello et al., 2007).

A formação do biofilme inicia-se com a aderência dos microrganismos em tecidos ou dispositivos médicos, sendo o mais comum o cateter venoso central. Em alguns casos o cateter ou o fluido de infusão estão contaminados, mas na maioria das vezes os microrganismos são adentrados por meio da pele do paciente ou pela contaminação das mãos dos profissionais da saúde (Douglas et al., 2003; Tumbarello et al., 2007).

A formação do biofilme proporciona benefícios aos microrganismos que os constitui, são eles: maior concentração de nutrientes, os quais estão presentes na matriz polimérica; proteção contra agentes nocivos, como desidratação e substâncias químicas, como antifúngicos (Ramage et al., 2001); possibilita a troca de material genético e a capacidade de colonizar diferentes nichos ecológicos (Flemming, 1993; Mittelman, 1998).

Poucos estudos descrevem a estrutura do biofilme de *C. parapsilosis*. De acordo com Ferreira et al. (2009), este possui uma camada múltipla composta por uma rede de leveduras e pseudo-hifas. Além disso, possui um agrupamento irregular de blastoconídeos numa camada basal. Silva et al. (2009) demonstraram que o biofilme possui uma grande quantidade de



carboidratos e uma pequena quantidade de proteínas, sendo que a formação do biofilme por esta espécie é totalmente dependente da estirpe.

Estudos demonstram que há diferenças entre as espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* quanto à capacidade de formação de biofilme. Tavanti et al. (2010) relataram que 64.5% das amostras de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* foram capazes de formar biofilme. Toro et al. (2011) mostraram que 58.5% dos isolados de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* foram capazes de formar biofilme, enquanto nenhum dos isolados clínicos de *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis* produziu biofilme *in vitro*. Dados semelhantes foram encontrados por Tavanti et al. (2007) e Tosun et al. (2013). Por outro lado, existem dados que demonstram que as três espécies do complexo são capazes de produzir biofilmes (Melo et al., 2011; Abi-chacra et al., 2013). No entanto, vale ressaltar que vários destes estudos mencionados não são diretamente comparáveis porque eles diferem em aspectos importantes, tais como o processo de formação do biofilme, os métodos para detectar a produção do biofilme (ou seja, coloração com cristal violeta, ensaios de redução de XTT e medida da transmitância ou absorbância sem coloração) e os critérios para considerar um isolado como um produtor de biofilme.

#### 4.2 PROTEINASES

A secreção de aspartil proteinase (Sap) é reconhecida como um importante determinante de virulência para *Candida*, especialmente *C. albicans* (Koga-Ito et al., 2006; Ruchel et al., 1982). Ao contrário da *C. albicans*, a *C. parapsilosis* tem uma baixa atividade de proteinase. Apenas três Saps foram identificados em *C. parapsilosis*, duas das quais permanecem em grande parte descaracterizadas (Merkerová et al., 2006).

A Sapp1p tem sido caracterizada bioquimicamente (Dostál et al., 2005; Fusek et al., 1994). O pseudogene SAPP2P, produz uma proteinase funcional (Sapp2p), que constitui cerca de 20% das Saps isoladas dos sobrenadantes das culturas (Fusek et al., 1993).

Essas proteinases facilitam a colonização e invasão dos tecidos do hospedeiro por meio do rompimento das membranas mucosas do hospedeiro e pela degradação de importantes proteínas de defesa imunológica (Pichova et al., 2001).

A produção de proteinase pode variar de acordo com os isolados de *C. parapsilosis*, e seu papel na patogênese permanece obscuro. Há uma tendência em comparar a produção desta enzima em relação ao local de coleta, uma vez que isolados da pele e vulvovaginal apresentam uma maior atividade de proteinase, quando comparadas com amostras de sangue (Trofa et al., 2008).

Um estudo realizado por Tosun et al.(2013) demonstraram que 34,2% (29/77) dos isolados de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* expressaram proteinases. Além disso, nenhum dos seus isolados de *C. metapsilosis* ou *C. orthopsilosis* apresentaram atividade para esta exoenzima. No entanto, Trevino-Rangel et al. (2013) relataram que 17% (5/30), 7% (2/30) e 60% (3/5) dos seus isolados de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*, *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis*, respectivamente, produziram proteinases.

Outros estudos mostram uma alta prevalência da expressão de proteinases em isolados de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* (Abi-chacra et al., 2013; Ge et al., 2011; Németh et al., 2013). De modo similar, existem relatos que encontraram também elevada porcentagem de expressão destas enzimas entre isolados de *C. metapsilosis* e *C. orthopsilosis* (Ge et al., 2011; Németh et al., 2013; Trevino-Rangel et al., 2013). De acordo com Ge et al. (2011), as razões para essa variabilidade entre os estudos são desconhecidas, mas pode ser devido aos meios utilizados para os testes enzimáticos, pois a sensibilidade dos meios utilizados nos ensaios variam; outra razão pode estar relacionada com as diferentes temperaturas de incubação utilizadas nos ensaios.

#### 4.3 FOSFOLIPASE

As fosfolipases são também frequentemente consideradas fatores associados com a patogenicidade de *Candida* (Price et al.,1982). Sua função durante a infecção não está ainda bem compreendida, embora se acredite que elas estão envolvidas na ruptura das membranas do hospedeiro (Kantarcioglu e Yucel, 2002; Ghannoum, 2000). Sua presença poderia contribuir para danificar as membranas das células hospedeiras, o que poderia promover danos celulares e/ou exposição de receptores que facilitariam a aderência de *Candida* (Ghannoum, 2000).

A elevada produção destas enzimas pode estar relacionada a um aumento dos níveis de patogenicidade das leveduras, já que amostras que expressam altas quantidades de fosfolipases apresentam uma maior capacidade de aderência e invasão nas células do hospedeiro (Ibrahim et al., 1995). Abi-chacra et al. (2013), encontraram atividade baixa ou indetectável destas enzimas nas espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis*. Tay et al. (2011) demonstraram que a expressão de fosfolipases é muito mais frequente em isolados de *C. albicans* (53.8 - 73%) em comparação com aqueles de CNA (2-17%), incluindo isolados *C. parapsilosis*, o que sugere que estas enzimas não são, provavelmente, fatores de virulência importantes para espécies de CNA. Por outro lado, Trevino-Rangel et al. (2013) relataram a

expressão destas enzimas em 97% (29/30) dos isolados de *C. orthopsilosis*, 80% (4/5) dos *C. metapsilosis* e 63% (19/30) dos *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*. Uma alta prevalência na expressão de fosfolipases foi demonstrada também em isolados de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* 90,5% (19/21) e *C. metapsilosis* 91,7% (11/12) por Ge et al. (2012).

A variação na expressão de fosfolipase nas espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* pode estar relacionada ao uso de diferentes testes enzimáticos, o tamanho da amostra e/ou variação biológica entre os isolados (Ge et al., 2012).

## 5. ANTIFÚNGICOS

Apesar do desenvolvimento das pesquisas em relação à terapia antifúngica, poucas são as classes de antimicóticos disponíveis para o tratamento de infecções fúngicas. Além disso, as células fúngicas quando comparadas com as células humanas têm uma similaridade bioquímica e fisiológica, com isso o tratamento torna-se limitado.

A maioria dos antifúngicos como os derivados azólicos (fluconazol e voriconazol) e os derivados poliênicos (anfotericina B) agem na membrana celular fúngica, que possui o ergosterol que tem uma estrutura semelhante ao colesterol das células humanas. Enquanto que as equinocandinas (casposfungina) são drogas que agem na parede celular fúngica representando uma ótima escolha (Odds et al., 2003).

*C. parapsilosis* não é considerada uma espécie propensa ao desenvolvimento de resistência a antifúngicos (Pfaller e Diekema, 2004; Pfaller e Diekema, 2008; Kuhn et al., 2004; van Asbeck et al., 2008). Todavia, estudos recentes sugerem que a sua menor suscetibilidade aos azóis e equinocandinas pode tornar-se um motivo de preocupação clínica (Cantón et al., 2011; Moudgal et al., 2005; Sarvikivi et al., 2005).

O surgimento de cepas de *C. parapsilosis* com a sensibilidade diminuída ao fluconazol (FLC), tem sido relacionado ao uso extensivo de FLC e à má higienização das mãos, possibilitando que estas cepas sejam transmitidas por todo o ambiente hospitalar (Almirante et al., 2006).

Um estudo recente relatou sobre o padrão de resistência antifúngica dos isolados de *Candida* na corrente sanguínea, mostrando quatorze amostras de *C. parapsilosis* resistentes ao FLC (Pfaller et al., 2011).

Historicamente, a anfotericina B (AMB) é o medicamento mais utilizado em infecções por *C. parapsilosis*, embora apresente alguns problemas quando utilizado na terapia

convencional, como sua baixa solubilidade aquosa e elevada toxicidade. Uma alternativa para substituir a AMB poderia ser o FLC (Ostrosky-Zeichner et al., 2003; Kuhn et al., 2004).

Quanto à caspofungina (CAS), a mais nova classe de agentes antifúngicos, trabalhos têm demonstrado valores de CIM (Concentração Inibitória Mínima) elevados para *C. parapsilosis*, quando comparado com outras espécies de *Candida* (Ostrosky-Zeichner et al., 2003; Melo et al., 2007).

Poucos estudos abordaram os perfis de suscetibilidade antifúngica entre as espécies do complexo *C. parapsilosis* (Weems et al., 1992; Melo et al., 2011; Pfaller e Diekema et al., 2008). Chen et al., 2010 demonstraram que a maioria dos isolados do complexo *C. parapsilosis* foi suscetível aos azóis, AMB e equinocandinas. No entanto, isolados de *C. metapsilosis* tiveram valores de CIM significativamente mais elevados para FLC e voriconazol (VRC), quando comparado com as outras espécies do complexo. Já em outro estudo, Gomez- Lopes et al., 2008 mostraram CIMs de AMB mais elevado para *C. parapsilosis sensu strictu*, o que está de acordo com os resultados relatados por Miranda-Zapico et al., 2011.

Vários estudos demonstram a resistência dos antifúngicos ao biofilme de *Candida*. (Trofa et al., 2008; Chandra et al., 2001; Silva et al., 2012). Apesar da estrutura do biofilme de *C. parapsilosis* ser menos complexa, a resistência a anfotericina B e aos compostos azólicos é semelhante ao biofilme de *C. albicans* (Ruzicka et al., 2007; Katragkou et al., 2008). Toro et al. (2011) demonstraram que o VRZ é altamente eficaz contra células planctônicas e ineficaz contra o biofilme de *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto*. No entanto, os níveis terapêuticos de equinocandinas podem inibir a atividade metabólica dos biofilmes de *C. parapsilosis* (Kuhn et al., 2002; Katragkou et al., 2008). Em relação à anfotericina B, estudos demonstram que os biofilmes de *C. parapsilosis* são mais suscetíveis a este antifúngico (Melo et al., 2011; Tay et al., 2011).

O desenvolvimento de resistência aos agentes antifúngicos é considerado uma importante causa de falha do tratamento das infecções fúngicas. Portanto, se pudermos escolher de forma inteligente um antifúngico ótimo de acordo com o agente etiológico, a eficácia da terapia contra as micoses poderia ser melhorada (Gupta & Kohli, 2003). Para isso, é de fundamental importância conhecer o perfil de suscetibilidade dos fungos patogênicos aos agentes antifúngicos.

## 6. CONSIDERAÇÕES FINAIS

O aumento da incidência de infecções humanas causadas por leveduras do complexo *C. parapsilosis* tem incentivado o desenvolvimento de pesquisas buscando elucidar as características destes microrganismos. Até o momento está claro o protagonismo da *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* nas infecções humanas, tanto superficiais quanto invasivas. Este patógeno tem uma elevada afinidade por nutrição parenteral, coloniza as mãos dos profissionais de saúde, e tem a capacidade de formar biofilmes em superfícies de próteses, cateteres venosos centrais e dispositivos de longa permanência.

Contudo, novos estudos ainda são necessários para melhor conhecimento das propriedades de virulência, padrão de resistência aos antifúngicos e distribuição de cada membro do complexo, especialmente das duas espécies mais raramente isoladas: *C. orthopsilosis* e *C. metapsilosis*. Estes conhecimentos serão importantes para definir se a discriminação de rotina entre os membros do complexo *C. parapsilosis* é necessária para os laboratórios clínicos. A constatação de diferenças importantes nas propriedades de virulência e suscetibilidade aos antifúngicos entre as espécies desse complexo podem certamente influenciar as decisões terapêuticas.

Por fim, é importante mencionar que a prevalência das leveduras do complexo *C. parapsilosis* varia dependendo de diferenças regionais, e até o momento não havia estudos sobre a distribuição e características de virulência das espécies desse complexo na região de Uberaba.

### Participação dos co-autores

O manuscrito apresentado a seguir foi formatado de acordo com as instruções aos autores da revista **European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases**.

Em atendimento ao regulamento do CPGCF, listamos abaixo a participação de cada co-autor, fora a mestranda e o orientador.

**Larissa Beatriz Silva:** Identificação fenotípica dos fungos e avaliação da produção de biofilmes; realização de testes de suscetibilidade dos biofilmes aos antifúngicos.

**Diego Batista Carneiro de Oliveira:** Coleta, isolamento e identificação fenotípica dos fungos; realização de testes de suscetibilidade aos antifúngicos.

**Paulo Roberto da Silva;** Coleta, isolamento e identificação fenotípica dos fungos.

**Kennio Ferreira Paim:** Coleta e isolamento dos fungos, avaliação da expressão de fatores de virulência (produção de proteinases e fosfolipases).

**Leonardo Euripedes de A. e Silva:** Coleta e isolamento dos fungos, identificação molecular das espécies do complexo *Candida parapsilosis*.

**Mario León Silva-Vergara:** Discussão e interpretação dos resultados.

## Comprovante de Submissão

EJCM: Submission Confirmation for Distribution, virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis* complex clinical isolates from Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

From: Editorial Office EJCM <no-reply@editorialmanager.com>

To: Anderson Andrade <anderson@icbn.uftm.edu.br>

Date: 23 Jun 2014 03:07:15 -0400

Subject: EJCM: Submission Confirmation for Distribution, virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis* complex clinical isolates from Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Message-ID: <WEB9vkY7ELxOjKIRRVp0001a0bf@web9.editorialmanager.com>

Dear Dr Andrade,

Your submission entitled "Distribution, virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis* complex clinical isolates from Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil." has been received by journal European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases You will be able to check on the progress of your paper by logging on to Editorial Manager as an author. The URL is <http://ejcm.edmgr.com/>. Your manuscript will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned. Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Editorial Office

European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases

Now that your article will undergo the editorial and peer review process, it is the right time to think about publishing your article as open access. With open access your article will become freely available to anyone worldwide and you will easily comply with open access mandates. Springer's open access offering for this journal is called Open Choice (find more information on [www.springer.com/openchoice](http://www.springer.com/openchoice)). Once your article is accepted, you will be offered the option to publish through open access. So you might want to talk to your institution and funder now to see how payment could be organized; for an overview of available open access funding please go to [www.springer.com/oafunding](http://www.springer.com/oafunding). Although for now you don't have to do anything, we would like to let you know about your upcoming options.

**Distribution, virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis* complex clinical isolates from Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil.**

Beatriz Virgínia da Silva†, Larissa Beatriz Silva†, Diego Batista Carneiro de Oliveira†, Paulo Roberto da Silva†, Kennio Ferreira-Paim‡, Leonardo Eurípides Andrade-Silva‡, Mario León Silva-Vergara‡, Anderson Assunção Andrade†\*.

†Disciplina de Microbiologia, Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia; Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e Naturais, Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

‡Unidade de Doenças Infecciosas, Instituto de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Uberaba, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

\*Corresponding author:

Anderson Assunção Andrade, Ph.D.

Laboratório de Microbiologia

Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia

Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e Naturais

Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro

Praça Manoel Terra 330

38025-015 - Uberaba, MG, Brasil.

Phone: + 55 34 3318 5480 - Fax : 55 34 3318 5466

E-mail: [anderson@icbn.uftm.edu.br](mailto:anderson@icbn.uftm.edu.br)



## Abstract

The purposes of this study were (i) to determine by RFLP-*Ban* I assay the occurrence of *Candida parapsilosis* sensu stricto, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* among 81 clinical isolates primarily identified as *C. parapsilosis*; (ii) to evaluate their *in vitro* production of virulence factors; and (iii) to compare their susceptibility profiles, grown as planktonic cells and biofilms, against amphotericin B, fluconazole, voriconazole, and caspofungin by following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. Seventy seven isolates (95%) were identified as *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, 2 (2.5%) as *C. orthopsilosis*, and 2 (2.5%) as *C. metapsilosis*. Protease activity was detected in 37.7% of the isolates of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, whereas only 9.1% exhibited phospholipase activity. None of the *C. metapsilosis* or *C. orthopsilosis* was able to produce protease or phospholipase. Biofilm production was detected in 43.2% of the isolates, among of which 33 were *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto and 2 were *C. orthopsilosis*. Antifungal resistance was uncommon; only one *C. metapsilosis* was fluconazole resistant. In conclusion, we confirm that among the members of *C. parapsilosis* complex, *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto is the most common species associated with human infections. Moreover, this species was the only one to express all the assayed virulence factors. On the contrary, none of these factors was detected in *C. metapsilosis*. Overall, *C. parapsilosis* complex was susceptible to amphotericin B, voriconazole and caspofungin, and had very low levels of fluconazole resistance. However, biofilm-producing isolates showed a marked resistance to these antifungal agents, particularly to voriconazole.

**Keywords:** *Candida parapsilosis* complex, virulence factors, proteases, phospholipases, biofilm production, antifungal susceptibility.

## Introduction

Over the past years, there has been an increase in human infections caused by yeasts from the genus *Candida*. Although *C. albicans* has historically been the most common species recovered from clinical samples, the number of infections due to non-*albicans Candida* (NAC) species has increased dramatically [1, 2]. Indeed, several studies have documented a decline in the proportion of infections caused by *C. albicans* and a corresponding increase in infections due to NAC [3-5]. Among NAC, *Candida parapsilosis* has gained recognition not only as agent of invasive fungal infections, where it is considered the second most frequent agent of candidemia in Latin America, Asia and Europe [1], especially in newborns [6], but also as important etiological agent of superficial mycoses, such as onychomycoses [4, 5].

Based on genetic evidence, in 2005 *C. parapsilosis* was recognized as a complex composed of three distinct but closely related species, namely *Candida parapsilosis* sensu stricto, *Candida orthopsilosis*, and *Candida metapsilosis* [7]. These species are physiologically and morphologically indistinguishable. Thus, DNA-based techniques are needed in order to differentiate the species within the complex. One of such techniques, proposed by Tavanti et al [7], is the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis with *BanI* digestion of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products of the secondary alcohol dehydrogenase (SADH) gene. This molecular approach has proved useful and has been readily adopted by several researchers [8-13].

*Candida* pathogenicity, as with other microorganisms, is determined by the expression of a number of virulence factors. While much is known about these factors produced by *C. albicans*, relatively little on this subject has been studied to NAC [14, 15]. With respect to *C. parapsilosis*, the knowledge of its virulence determinants is also not complete, but formation of biofilm, secretion of extracellular enzymes (such as proteases and phospholipases), and resistance to drugs seems to play an important role in its pathogenesis [16]. Since new species of the *C. parapsilosis* complex were recognized, differences in the degrees of antifungal susceptibility and virulence have been found among them [9, 11, 17-19]. However, as there are not commercially available assays to differentiate among the three species of the *C. parapsilosis* complex, few published studies have made this discrimination [9]. Thus, the unambiguous differentiation of these species is of fundamental importance in order to define precisely their virulence properties and antifungal susceptibility profiles. This knowledge could have clinical relevance, as it may be useful in guiding therapeutic decisions [9, 18].

The purposes of this study were (i) to determine by RFLP-*Ban I* assay the occurrence of *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis* or *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto among 81 clinical isolates primarily

identified as *C. parapsilosis* by conventional methods; (ii) to evaluate their ability to form biofilm and to produce proteases and phospholipases; and (iii) to compare their *in vitro* susceptibility profiles, grown as planktonic cells and biofilms, against amphotericin B, fluconazole, voriconazole, and caspofungin by following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

## Materials and methods

### *Clinical isolates of Candida parapsilosis*

A total of 81 isolates originally identified as *C. parapsilosis* through the use of standard morphological and biochemical methods were included in the study. Among these isolates, 52 were originated from our previous study [5]. The samples were isolated from different clinical specimens, including nails, skin (hands, feet, face and body), blood, urine, catheter tip, and secretions. Clinical specimens were obtained from patients who were seen at the Hospital de Clínicas da Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM) and other medical centers and practices in Uberaba, MG, Brazil. Only one isolate per patient was included. This study was approved by the ethics committee of UFTM (protocol 1361/2010).

Identification as *C. parapsilosis* was performed based on the following standard methods, according to de Hoog et al. [20]: carbon and nitrogen assimilation assays, sugar fermentation, germ tube test, urease activity, microscopic morphology on corn-meal agar with tween 80 and growth in chromogenic medium CHROMagar Candida® (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA).

### *Molecular identification of C. parapsilosis species complex*

Molecular identification of *C. parapsilosis* species complex was performed according to Tavanti et al. [7]. Briefly, yeast genomic DNA extracted as described by Ferrer et al. [21] was used as template for PCR amplification of a 716-bp fragment from the SADH gene. The amplification conditions were 94°C for 7 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 1 min and elongation at 72°C for 90 s, with a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. The PCR products were then digested with BanI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and the digestion patterns were used to differentiate among the three related species as follows: *C. orthopsilosis* has no restriction site, *C. metapsilosis* has three BanI restriction sites and *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto has one BanI restriction site.

The reference strains *Candida parapsilosis* (ATCC 22019), *Candida orthopsilosis* (ATCC 96141) and *Candida metapsilosis* (ATCC 96143) were used as controls in all experiments.

### *Phospholipase Production*

The isolates were screened for the phospholipase activity by measuring the size of the zone of precipitation after growth on egg yolk agar as described by Price et al. [22], with some modifications. The test medium consisted of Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India), 1 mol/L NaCl, 0.005 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 8% sterile egg yolk Emulsion (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Ten microlitre of a suspension of 10<sup>7</sup> yeast cells per ml of each isolate were inoculated onto the surface of the test medium in duplicate. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 7 days, after which the diameter of the precipitation zone around the colony was measured. Phospholipase activity (Pz) was expressed as the ratio of the diameter of the colony to the diameter of the colony plus the precipitation zone. The phospholipase activity was classified as negative (Pz = 1), very low (Pz = 0.90-0.99), low (Pz = 0.80-0.89), high (Pz = 0.70-0.79) and very high (Pz < 0.69), as previously reported [23]. Each experiment was performed twice.

### *Protease production*

Determination of protease production was performed based on Ruchel et al. [24] using bovine serum albumin (BSA) agar [1.17% yeast carbon base, 0.25% Protovit® (Roche AS, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and 0.2% BSA (Fraction V, Sigma-Aldrich) adjusted to pH 5.0, sterilized by filtration, and added to an autoclaved cooled solution of 2% agar]. Protease activity was detected by inoculating 10 µl of a yeast suspension of 10<sup>7</sup> cells per ml onto a BSA plate. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 7 days. Protease activity (Pz) was measured and scored according to phospholipase testing, as mentioned above.

### *Biofilm formation*

The *in vitro* biofilm formation of *Candida parapsilosis* complex isolates was determined as described by Jin et al. [25] with some modifications. Briefly, after overnight growth in Sabouraud dextrose broth (Himedia), yeast cells were harvested and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium (Himedia) with L-glutamine but without bicarbonate and buffered to pH 7.0 with 0.165 mol/L morpholinepropanesulphonic acid (MOPS; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) to a density of 1.0×10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml. A hundred microliters of this standardized cell suspension were added to each well of a flat-bottomed 96-well microtiter plate. Each strain was inoculated in replicate in five wells. As a negative control, test medium without cells was added to three wells of each plate. The plates

were incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C without agitation to allow cells to attach to the bottom of the wells. After the adhesion stage, non-adherent cells were removed by washing the wells twice with 150 µl of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Fresh RPMI 1640 medium (100 µl) was then added to the wells, and the plates were further incubated for 48 h at 37°C.

### *Quantification of biofilm*

A semiquantitative measure of biofilm formation was performed using the 2,3-Bis(2-Methoxy-4-Nitro-5-Sulphophenyl)-5-[(Phenylamino)Carbonyl]-2H-Tetrazolium Hydroxide (XTT) reduction assay, essentially as described by Melo et al. [26]. The biofilms were first washed twice with 200 µl of PBS, and then 200 µl of PBS and 12 µl of the XTT-menadione solution were added to each of the prewashed biofilm and to control wells (for the measurement of background XTT-reduction levels). The XTT-menadione solution was prepared fresh on each day of testing by adding 1.5 ml of XTT (1 mg/ml in sterile saline; Sigma-Aldrich) to 300 µl menadione solution (0.4 mM in acetone; Sigma-Aldrich). The plates were then incubated in the dark for 2h at 37°C. Following incubation, 100 µl of solution was transferred to new wells and the color change in the solution was measured with a microtiter plate reader (TP-Reader Basic, ThermoPlate) at 490 nm. The biofilm production was measured as optical densities (OD) higher than 0.200 [9]. The absorbance values for the controls (containing no cells) were subtracted from the values for the test wells to eliminate spurious results due to background interference. Data were recorded as arithmetic mean of absorbance values.

### *Antifungal susceptibility testing*

The *in vitro* minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of amphotericin B (AMB) (Pfizer), Caspofungin (CAS) (Merck-EUA), fluconazole (FLZ) (Pfizer, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil) and voriconazole (VRZ) (Pfizer) on planktonic cells were determined using broth microdilution method in accordance with CLSI documents M27-A3 [27] and M27-S4 [28]. Stock solutions were prepared in sterile water (CAS and FLZ) or dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) (AMB and VRZ). Antifungal agents were then diluted with RPMI-1640 medium buffered to pH 7.0 with MOPS. AMB and VRZ were tested at concentrations from 0.03 to 16 µg/ml. CAS concentrations ranged from 0.015 to 8 µg/ml, while FLZ was tested at concentrations ranging from 0.015 to 64 µg/ml. The MICs were determined by visual inspection following incubation in a humid atmosphere at 35°C for 24 to 48h. For azoles, MIC endpoints were defined as the lowest antifungal concentration that resulted in a prominent decrease ( $\geq 50\%$  inhibition) in growth compared to the growth in the control

well (antifungal-free medium). The lowest concentration inhibiting any visible growth was used as the MIC for AMB and CAS. The MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> were the minimum concentrations of antifungal agents required to inhibit 50% and 90% of isolates tested, respectively.

The MICs for sessile cells (biofilm) were determined with the previously described microtiter-based assay [29] with some modifications. After biofilm formation in 96-well microtiter plates for 48 h as described above, the medium was aspirated, and non-adherent cells were removed by thoroughly washing the biofilms twice with PBS. Residual PBS was removed by blotting with paper towels before the addition of antifungal agents. AMB, CAS, FLZ and VRZ were then added to the biofilms at the same concentrations used in the planktonic cell susceptibility assay and the plates were incubated for 48h at 37°C. Sessile MICs (SMICs) were determined at 50% metabolic inhibition compared to control (antifungal-free) by using the XTT reduction assay described above. Testing of these isolates was performed in triplicate.

### *Statistical analysis*

Associations among the virulence factors were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney or the chi-square test as appropriate. Differences between the SMIC values and their MICs were tested using McNemar's test. *P* values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with Statistica 7.0 (Statsoft, Inc. Tulsa, USA) software.

## **Results**

Of the 81 *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates, 77 (95%) were identified as *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, 2 (2.5%) as *C. orthopsilosis*, and the remaining 2 (2.5%) proved to be *C. metapsilosis*. The distribution of these three species within different age groups, gender and sites of isolation is detailed in Table 1. The three species of the *C. parapsilosis* complex were found in both genders. While *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto was isolated from patients of all age groups, *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* were obtained only from older patients (ages ranging from 50 to 76 years). *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto was isolated from all kinds of clinical specimens, while *C. orthopsilosis* was recovered from superficial candidiasis (toenail and skin) and *C. metapsilosis* from invasive candidiasis (urine and wound secretion).

Regarding the production of hydrolytic enzymes, none of the *C. metapsilosis* or *C. orthopsilosis* isolates was able to produce protease or phospholipase (Table 1). Protease activity was detected in 37.7% (29/77) of the isolates of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, whereas only seven strains (9.1%) exhibited phospholipase activity. Most protease-producing strains (18/29) had high or very



high enzymatic activity with Pz values ranging from 0.43 to 0.78. Among the phospholipase positive isolates, 71.4% (5/7) possessed enzymatic activity high or very high (Pz ranging from 0.48 to 0.77). We noted also that only two strains secreted both phospholipase and protease.

Biofilm production was detected in 35 (43.2%) of the *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates, among of which 33 were *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto and 2 were *C. orthopsilosis* (Table 1). The mean  $A_{490}$  value for the 35 biofilm-producing strains was 0.264 ( $\pm$  0.056, SD) with a range of 0.204-0.398, a 1.95-fold difference between the highest and lowest biofilm-producing strains.

There was no statistically significant association between biofilm-forming ability and the clinical origin of the isolates ( $p = 0.300$ ). Similarly, biofilm production in *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto isolates was not correlated to secretion of proteinase ( $p = 0.658$ ) or phospholipase ( $p = 0.828$ ).

The distribution of MICs and SMICs for planktonic and biofilm-grown *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates, respectively, is shown in Table 2, whereas Table 3 summarizes the antifungal susceptibility data for these isolates, including range of the MICs and SMICs, MIC<sub>50</sub>, SMIC<sub>50</sub>, MIC<sub>90</sub> and geometric mean – GM. Since the number of *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* strains was very small, its MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> were not determined for any of the antifungal agents tested. According to the new species-specific interpretive breakpoints [28] or old CLSI breakpoints [27], all isolates were susceptible to amphotericin B and caspofungin. Likewise, all isolates except one *C. metapsilosis* (whose fluconazole MIC was 16  $\mu$ g/ml) were sensitive to fluconazole. This same fluconazole-resistant *C. metapsilosis* isolate was the only one to display dose-dependent susceptibility to voriconazole (MIC = 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml). In addition, we detected one *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto isolate with dose-dependent susceptibility to fluconazole (MIC = 4  $\mu$ g/ml). In contrast, most of the isolates were resistant to all antifungals tested after they were grown as biofilms. There was a statistically significant increase ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the SMICs for all antifungal agents as compared to their planktonic MICs. Amphotericin B, caspofungin and fluconazole had some activity only against biofilms of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto (when SMICs were  $\leq 1$   $\mu$ g/ml,  $\leq 2$   $\mu$ g/ml and  $\leq 2$   $\mu$ g/ml, respectively). In contrast, biofilm of all the isolates evaluated were resistant to voriconazole (SMIC  $\geq 1$   $\mu$ g/ml) (Table 2).

## Discussion

Since 2005, when *C. parapsilosis* was recognized as a complex composed by *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis*, surveillance data about the distribution, antifungal resistance and virulence factors of these three species are continually collected and analyzed by a number of authors [8-13, 17, 19, 30-33]. Moreover, an increasing prevalence of

human infections caused by *C. parapsilosis* complex species has been reported in recent years [1, 3]. Despite of these facts, the characterization of the *psilosis* group is not completed yet, so further studies are needed to better understand the characteristics, including putative virulence traits, drug resistance trends and distribution of each member of the complex and especially of the two rarely isolated species, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* [34, 35].

After molecular analysis we found that *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* accounted for 5% of all isolates. These results agree with the findings of several other studies which reported that, within the *C. parapsilosis* complex, *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto is the most prevalent species associated with human infections, while *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* together represent fewer than 10% of the etiological agents of these infections [8-13, 18, 31, 32]. It is important to note that our results are more closely related to those which examined strains isolated from different body sites and tissues [8, 9, 11, 13, 31] than to those which were based solely on isolates from superficial [12] or invasive fungal infections [10, 18, 32].

In this study, most of the isolates (69/81) were obtained from superficial *Candida* infections. Nevertheless, it is interesting to observe that *C. metapsilosis* strains were only recovered from invasive infections. In the literature there is no relationship between *C. metapsilosis* and invasive infections. In fact, this species together with the other two species of the *psilosis* group have been reported as cause of both superficial and invasive infections in humans [8-12, 32]. Thus, our finding seems not to have clinical relevance and may simply be related to the limited number of *C. metapsilosis* isolates that was found in this panel.

Of note, all *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* strains were isolated from older patients. These findings are similar to those recently reported by Tosun et al. [13] and are partially in accordance with previous studies that showed strong association between *C. orthopsilosis* and elderly patients ( $\geq 60$  years) [8, 10]. Regarding *C. metapsilosis*, to the best of our knowledge, our work is then the second study to demonstrate isolation of this species exclusively from elderly patients and in our study both isolates were from patients over 70 years old. Although the exact age of the patients was not mentioned in the study by Tosun et al. [13], we hypothesized that aging would be associated with an increased susceptibility to infections caused by *C. metapsilosis*. Indeed, it is widely accepted that aging is associated with a decline in immune function, a process termed immune senescence, which makes an individual more susceptible to infections [36], including opportunistic fungal infections [37]. The assumption that aging is a risk factor for infection with *C. metapsilosis* could be in line with previous work showing that this yeast is the least virulent species of the group [34, 38]. However, to date there are few reports with information about patients' age, thus further studies with a greater number of isolates and extensive demographic information are needed to confirm the association between aging and infection by *C. metapsilosis*.



As aforementioned, *C. metapsilosis* has been reported as a less virulent member of the *C. parapsilosis* complex in an *in vitro* infection model using microglial cells [34] and also more recently in an *in vivo* model system using *Galleria mellonella* larvae [38]. Indeed, some studies have been shown that there are significant differences among the species that comprise the *C. parapsilosis* complex relative to the expression of virulence factors, including the production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes and the ability to form biofilms [9, 13, 19, 26, 38].

Secretion of hydrolytic enzymes is thought to play an important role in the pathogenesis of disease caused by *C. parapsilosis*, facilitating its adherence and tissue invasion, or damaging cells of the host immune system to avoid antimicrobial attack [38]. Among these enzymes, there have been contradictory findings in terms of protease and phospholipase activity within the members of the *psilosis* group. Tosun et al. [13] reported that 34.2% of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto isolates (13/38) were protease positive. In addition, none of their isolates of *C. metapsilosis* (3 strains) or *C. orthopsilosis* (1 strain) exhibited protease activity. Our data are similar to these results given that we detected protease activity only in isolates of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto with a positivity rate of 37.7% (29/77). However, variable protease activity has been found by other authors among *C. parapsilosis* isolates. For instance, Treviño-Rangel et al. [19] reported that 17% (5/30), 7% (2/30) and 60% (3/5) of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* isolates, respectively, were significant producers of protease. On the other hand, a number of studies identified a high proportion of protease-producing *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto isolates, ranging from 66.1% to 100% [33, 38-40]. Similarly, recent reports found also a high proportion of isolates of both *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* exhibiting protease activity [19, 33, 38]. According to Ge et al. [33], the reasons for this variability among studies are unknown, but it may be due to the media used for enzymatic tests, as sensitivity of different media varies; another potential reason might be related to the different incubation temperatures used in the assays (30 or 37°C).

In terms of phospholipase, our findings concur with the results of previous studies which found low or undetectable phospholipase activity among *C. parapsilosis* isolates [40, 41]. Phospholipase activity has been detected in higher percentages (53.8-73%) in *C. albicans* isolates as compared to those of non-*albicans* *Candida* isolates (2-17%), including *C. parapsilosis* isolates, suggesting that this enzyme is probably not a significant virulence factor for non-*albicans* *Candida* species [41]. However, as for the protease activity, there have been contradictory findings in terms of phospholipase activity in *C. parapsilosis*. Treviño-Rangel et al. [19] showed that 97% (29/30) of *C. orthopsilosis*, 80% (4/5) of *C. metapsilosis* and 63% (19/30) of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto possessed secreted phospholipase activity. A study by Ge et al. [33] also reported a remarkably high proportion of both *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto and *C. metapsilosis* isolates producing phospholipase *in vitro* [90.5% (19/21) and 91.7% (11/12), respectively]. Some reasons have been

proposed to explain the wide variation in phospholipase activity of *C. parapsilosis*, including the use of different media for enzymatic test, small sample size, and/or inherent biological variation among isolates [33].

Our results show that there is variable ability of members of the *psilosis* complex to form biofilms *in vitro*, since that biofilm formation was detected in 42.8% (33/77) of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto and in 100% (2/2) of *C. orthopsilosis* isolates, whilst it was not detected in *C. metapsilosis* isolates. In contrast with our data, some studies have shown that *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto is the only species of the complex to form biofilms [9, 13]. In line with these works, Tavanti et al. [42], evaluating a total of 33 *C. orthopsilosis* clinical isolates, showed that none of them was biofilm-positive. On the other hand, there are other data demonstrating that all three species of the *psilosis* group are able to produce biofilm [26, 40]. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that several of these mentioned studies are not directly comparable because they differ in important aspects, such as the biofilm formation process, the methods to evaluate biofilm production (i.e., crystal violet staining, XTT-reduction assays or measured transmittance or absorbance without staining) and the criteria for considering an isolate as a biofilm producer. In this respect, as far as we know, there are no widely accepted criteria which allow us to categorize a *Candida* strain as a biofilm producer. We adopted the criterion suggested by Toro et al. [9] where isolates showing XTT OD readings higher than 0.200 were considered biofilm producers. According to Melo et al. [26] an isolate exhibiting XTT OD readings  $\geq 0.200$  is considered as high biofilm producer. Thus, the adoption of this criterion should ensure that all isolates categorized as biofilm-positive are truly biofilm producers.

Regarding the antifungal susceptibility profile, most of the isolates exhibited low *in vitro* MICs and were susceptible to the antifungal agents tested (amphotericin B, caspofungin, fluconazole and voriconazole). When applying the breakpoints proposed for *C. parapsilosis* for interpretation of the MIC results of *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* [28], antifungal resistance was found to be restricted to only one *C. metapsilosis* isolate, which showed resistance to fluconazole. This isolate was also the only one to display dose-dependent susceptibility to voriconazole. In addition, one fluconazole dose-dependent susceptible strain of *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* was observed. In agreement with these results, a number of previous investigations have shown that *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates are usually susceptible to amphotericin B, voriconazole and caspofungin, and have low levels of fluconazole resistance [8, 11, 13, 18, 30, 31]. Likewise, fluconazole resistance among *C. metapsilosis* isolates has also been reported by other authors [13, 18, 30-32]. In turn, Chen et al. [30] showed that *C. metapsilosis* strains had significantly higher MIC values to voriconazole than those of *C. parapsilosis sensu stricto* and *C. orthopsilosis*, although their *C. metapsilosis* strains were neither resistant nor dose-dependent susceptible to voriconazole when antifungal susceptibility test was determined at 24h (MIC ranged from 0.03 to 0.125  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ).

The interest in a better characterization of the *psilosis* group relies, besides the emergence of *C. parapsilosis* as a significant nosocomial pathogen, also on the different antifungal susceptibility profile that has been observed for the cryptic species *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* [32]. As pointed out by Cantón et al. [10], different *in vitro* antifungal susceptibility patterns have been reported, with *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto being less susceptible to amphotericin B, echinocandins, and fluconazole than *C. metapsilosis* or *C. orthopsilosis*. Our data are inadequate to make a proper assessment in this regard because of the low number of *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* isolates included in the study. Anyway, in the present study we observed that MICs for *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates were in general within the MIC ranges reported by others [9-11, 13, 31].

There is an increasing concern about biofilm production by *Candida* species, because it is known that biofilm-associated cells have enhanced resistance to host defense mechanisms and are also substantially more resistant to antifungal therapy [1, 3]. Considering specifically the *C. parapsilosis* complex, our results agree with previous studies showing that, regardless of species, SMICs were commonly several-fold higher than their planktonic MICs [9, 26, 41]. In addition, our biofilm MIC results confirmed the findings of Toro et al. [9] that, although voriconazole is highly effective against planktonic cells, it is ineffective against the biofilm of *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto. Finally, we found that amphotericin B was moderately active against biofilm-associated *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto. This observation is in accordance with recently published reports where the authors also observed *C. parapsilosis* biofilms susceptible to amphotericin B [26, 41].

In conclusion, we confirm that among the members of *C. parapsilosis* complex, *C. parapsilosis* sensu stricto is the most common species associated with both superficial and invasive human infections. Moreover, this species was the only one to express all the assayed *in vitro* virulence factors. On the contrary, none of these factors was detected in *C. metapsilosis* isolates. Overall, *C. parapsilosis* complex isolates were susceptible to amphotericin B, voriconazole and caspofungin, and had very low levels of fluconazole resistance. However, biofilm-producing isolates showed a marked resistance to these antifungal agents, particularly to voriconazole.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG). BVS, LBS, DBCO, KFP and LEAS were or are recipients of fellowships from CAPES (Coordenadoria de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior). Thanks are also due to Prof. Dr. Gabriel Antonio Nogueira Nascentes (Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Triângulo Mineiro – IFTM) for help with statistical analysis.

## References

1. Trofa D, Gácsér A, Nosanchuk JD (2008) *Candida parapsilosis*, an Emerging Fungal Pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 21:606-625
2. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ (2007) Epidemiology of Invasive Candidiasis: a Persistent Public Health Problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 20:133-163
3. Silva S, Negri M, Henriques M, Oliveira R, Williams DW, Azeredo J (2012) *Candida glabrata*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida tropicalis*: biology, epidemiology, pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36:288-305
4. Ataides FS, Chaul MH, El Essal FE, Costa CR, Souza LKH, Fernandes OFL, Silva MRR (2012) Antifungal susceptibility patterns of yeasts and filamentous fungi isolated from nail infection. J Eur Acad Dermatol 26:1479-1485
5. Silva LB, Oliveira DBC, Silva BV, Souza RA, Silva PR, Ferreira-Paim K, Andrade-Silva LE, Silva-Vergara ML, Andrade AA (2014) Identification and antifungal susceptibility of fungi isolated from dermatomycoses. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 28:633-640
6. Roilides E, Farmaki E, Evdoridou J, Dotis J, Hatzioannidis E, Tsivitanidou M, Bibashi E, Filioti I, Sofianou D, Gil-Lamaignere C, Mueller FM, Kremenopoulos G (2004) Neonatal candidiasis: analysis of epidemiology, drug susceptibility and molecular typing of causative isolates. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 23:745-750.
7. Tavanti A, Davison AD, Grow NAR, Maiden MCJ, Odds FC (2005) *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* spp. nov. to replace *Candida parapsilosis* groups II and III. J Clin Microbiol 43:284-292
8. Lockhart SR, Messer AS, Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ (2008) Geographic Distribution and Antifungal Susceptibility of the Newly Described Species *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* in Comparison to the Closely Related Species *Candida parapsilosis*. J Clin Microbiol 46:2659-2664
9. Toro M, Torres MJ, Maite R, Aznar J (2011) Characterization of *Candida parapsilosis* complex isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect;17:418-424
10. Cantón E, Pemán J, Quindós G, Eraso E, Miranda-Zapico I, Alvarez M, Merino P, Campos-Herrero I, Marco F, de la Pedrosa EG, Yague G, Guna R, Rubio C, Miranda C, Pazos C, Velasco D, Fungemyca Study Group (2011) Prospective multicenter study of the epidemiology, molecular identification, and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida orthopsilosis*, and *Candida metapsilosis* isolated from patients with candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:5590-5596
11. Trevino-Rangel RJ, Garza-Gonzalez E, Gonzalez JG, Bocanegra-García V, Llaca JM, González GM (2012) Molecular characterization and antifungal susceptibility of the *Candida parapsilosis* species complex of clinical isolates from Monterrey, Mexico. Med Mycol 50:781-784
12. Feng X, Ling B, Yang G, Yu X, Ren D, Yao Z (2012) Prevalence and distribution profiles of *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* responsible for superficial candidiasis in a Chinese University Hospital. Mycopathologia 173:229-234
13. Tosun I, Akyuz Z, Guler NC, Gulmez D, Bayramoglu G, Kaklikkaya N, Arıkan-Akdan S, Aydın F (2013) Distribution, virulence attributes and antifungal susceptibility patterns of *Candida parapsilosis* complex strains isolated from clinical samples. Med Mycol 51:483-492
14. Silva S, Negri M, Henriques M, Oliveira R, Williams DW, Azeredo J (2011) Adherence and biofilm formation of non-*Candida albicans* *Candida* species. Trends Microbiol 19:241-247
15. Haynes K (2001) Virulence in *Candida* species. Trends Microbiol 9:591-596
16. van Asbeck EC, Clemons KV, Stevens DA (2009) *Candida parapsilosis*: a review of its epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical aspects, typing and antimicrobial susceptibility. Crit Rev Microbiol 35:283-309

17. van Asbeck E, Clemons KV, Martinez M, Tong AJ, Stevens DA (2008) Significant differences in drug susceptibility among species in the *Candida parapsilosis* group. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 62:106–109
18. Gomez-Lopez A, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Rodriguez D, Almirante B, Pahissa A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M (2008). Prevalence and susceptibility profile of *Candida metapsilosis* and *Candida orthopsilosis*: results from population-based surveillance of candidemia in Spain. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:1506–1509
19. Trevino-Rangel RJ, González JG, González GM (2013) Aspartyl proteinase, phospholipase, esterase and hemolysin activities of clinical isolates of the *Candida parapsilosis* species complex. *Med Mycol* 51:331-335
20. de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gené J, Figueras MJ (2000) Atlas of clinical fungi, 2nd ed. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands
21. Ferrer C, Colom F, Frasés S, Mulet E, Abad JL, Alió JL (2001) Detection and identification of fungal pathogens by PCR and by ITS2 and 5.8S ribosomal DNA typing in ocular infections *J Clin Microbiol* 39:2873-2879
22. Price MF, Wilkinson ID, Gentry LO (1982) Plate method for detection of phospholipase activity in *Candida albicans*. *Sabouraudia* 20:7-14
23. Koga-Ito CY, Lyon JP, Vidotto V, de Resende MA (2006) Virulence factors and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida albicans* isolates from oral candidosis patients and control individuals. *Mycopathologia* 161: 219-223
24. Ruchel R, Tegeler R, Trost M (1982) A Comparison of secretory proteinases from different strains of *Candida albicans*. *Sabouraudia* 20:233-244
25. Jin Y, Yip HK, Samaranayake YH, Yau JY, Samaranayake LP (2003) Biofilm-forming ability of *Candida albicans* is unlikely to contribute to high levels of oral yeast carriage in cases of human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Clin Microbiol* 41:2961-2967
26. Melo AS, Bizerra FC, Freymuller E, Arthington-Skaggs BA, Colombo AL (2011) Biofilm production and evaluation of antifungal susceptibility amongst clinical *Candida ssp.* isolates, including strains of the *Candida parapsilosis* complex. *Med Mycol* 49:253-262
27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2008) Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts, 3rd edn. Approved standard M27-A3. CLSI, Wayne, PA
28. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2012) Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts-Third Informational Supplement, M27-S4. CLSI, Wayne, PA
29. Ramage G, Walle KV, Wickes BL, López-Ribot JL (2001) Standardized method for in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:2475-2479
30. Chen YC, Linc YH, Chenc KW, Liic J, Tenge HJ, Lic SY (2010) Molecular epidemiology and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis sensu stricto*, *Candida orthopsilosis*, and *Candida metapsilosis* in Taiwan. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 68:284-292
31. Miranda-Zapico I, Eraso E, Hernández-Almaraz JL, López-Soria LM, Carrillo-Muñoz AJ, Hernández-Molina JM, Quindós G (2011) Prevalence and antifungal susceptibility patterns of new cryptic species inside the species complexes *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida glabrata* among blood isolates from a Spanish tertiary hospital. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 66:2315-2322
32. Borghi E, Sciota R, Iatta R, Biassoni C, Montagna MT, Morace G (2011) Characterization of *Candida parapsilosis* complex strains isolated from invasive fungal infections. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 30:1437-1441
33. Ge YP, He GX, Lin T, Lu GX, Shen YN, Liu WD (2011) First Isolation of *Candida dubliniensis* from Oral Cavities of Dermatological Patients in Nanjing, China *Mycopathologia* 172:465-471
34. Orsi CF, Colombari B, Blasi E (2010) *Candida metapsilosis* as the least virulent member of



- the *C. parapsilosis* complex. *Med Mycol* 48:1024-1033
35. Romeo O, Delfino D, Costanzo B, Cascio A, Criseo G (2012) Molecular characterization of Italian *Candida parapsilosis* isolates reveals the cryptic presence of the newly described species *Candida orthopsilosis* in blood cultures from newborns. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 72:234-238
  36. Butcher SK, Chahal H, Nayak L, Sinclair A, Henriquez NV, Sapey E, O'Mahony D, Lord JM (2001) Senescence in innate immune responses: reduced neutrophil phagocytic capacity and CD16 expression in elderly humans. *J Leukoc Biol* 70:881-886
  37. Kauffman CA (2001) Fungal Infections in Older Adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 33:550-555
  38. Németh T, Tóth A, Szenzenstein J, Horváth P, Nosanchuk JD, Grózer Z, Tóth R, Papp C, Hamari Z, Vágvölgyi C, Gácsér A (2013) Characterization of Virulence Properties in the *C. parapsilosis Sensu Lato* Species. *PLoS One* 8:e68704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068704
  39. Tavanti A, Hensgens LAM, Mogavero S, Mojjoros L, Senesi S, Campa M (2010) Genotypic and phenotypic properties of *Candida parapsilosis sensu strictu* stains isolated from different geographic regions and body sites. *BMC Microbiol* 10:203. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-203.
  40. Abi-chacra ÉA, Souza LO, Cruz LP, Braga-Silva LA, Gonçalves DS, Sodré CL, Ribeiro MD, Seabra SH, Figueiredo-Carvalho MH, Barbedo LS, Zancopé-Oliveira RM, Ziccardi M, Santos AL (2013) Phenotypical properties associated with virulence from clinical isolates belonging to the *Candida parapsilosis* complex *FEMS Yeast Res* 13:831-848
  41. Tay ST, Abidin IA, Hassan H, Ng KP (2011) Proteinase, Phospholipase, biofilm forming abilities and antifungal susceptibilities of Malaysian *Candida* isolates from blood cultures. *Med Mycol* 49:556-560
  42. Tavanti A, Hensgens LA, Ghelardi E, Campa M, Senesi S (2007) Genotyping of *Candida orthopsilosis* clinical isolates by amplification fragment length polymorphism reveals genetic diversity among independent isolates and strain maintenance within patients. *J Clin Microbiol* 45:1455-1462

**Table 1:** Distribution of *C. parapsilosis* complex species within different age groups, gender and sites of isolation, and their virulence properties.

|                          | <i>C. parapsilosis</i> complex species                            |   |  | <b>Total<br/>(n=81)<br/>100.0%</b> |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
|                          | <i>C. parapsilosis</i><br><i>sensu stricto</i><br>(n=77)<br>95.0% | <i>C. metapsilosis</i><br>(n=2)<br>2.5% | <i>C. orthopsilosis</i><br>(n=2)<br>2.5% |                                    |
| <b>Age (years)</b>       |   |   |  |                                    |
| 0-15                     | 8(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 8(9.9)                             |
| 16-29                    | 8(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 8(9.9)                             |
| 30-49                    | 29(100.0)   | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 29(35.8)                           |
| ≥50                      | 32(88.8)  | 2(5.6)                                  | 2(5.6)                                   | 36(44.4)                           |
| <b>Gender</b>            |   |   |  |                                    |
| Male                     | 25(92.6)  | 1(3.7)                                  | 1(3.7)                                   | 27(33.3)                           |
| Female                   | 52(96.2)  | 1(1.9)                                  | 1(1.9)                                   | 54(66.7)                           |
| <b>Site of isolation</b> |   |   |  |                                    |
| Fingernail               | 11(100.0)   | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 11(13.6)                           |
| Toenail                  | 29(96.6)  | 0(0)                                    | 1(3.4)                                   | 30(37.0)                           |
| Skin                     | 28(100.0)   | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 28(34.5)                           |
| Urine                    | 1(50.0)   | 1(50.0)                                 | 0(0)                                     | 2(2.5)                             |
| Blood                    | 6(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 6(7.4)                             |
| Wound secretion          | 1(50.0)   | 1(50.0)                                 | 0(0)                                     | 2(2.5)                             |
| Catheter tip             | 1(50.0)   | 0(0)                                    | 1(50.0)                                  | 2(2.5)                             |
| <b>Virulence factors</b> |   |   |  |                                    |
| <b>Protease</b>          |   |   |  |                                    |
| Very high                | 6(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 6(7.3)                             |
| High                     | 12(100.0)   | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 12(14.7)                           |
| Low                      | 9(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 9(11.1)                            |
| Very low                 | 2(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 2(2.5)                             |
| Negative                 | 48(92.4)  | 2(3.8)                                  | 2(3.8)                                   | 52(64.4)                           |
| <b>Phospholipase</b>     |   |   |  |                                    |
| Very high                | 2(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 2(2.5)                             |
| High                     | 3(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 3(3.7)                             |
| Low                      | 2(100.0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 2(2.5)                             |
| Very low                 | 0(0)  | 0(0)                                    | 0(0)                                     | 0(0)                               |
| Negative                 | 70(94.6)  | 2(2.7)                                  | 2(2.7)                                   | 74(91.3)                           |
| <b>Biofilm formation</b> |   |   |  |                                    |
| Positive                 | 33(94.3)  | 0(0)                                    | 2(5.7)                                   | 35(43.2)                           |
| Negative                 | 44(95.7)  | 2(4.3)                                  | 0(0)                                     | 46(56.8)                           |

**Table 2:** Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) distribution of antifungal drugs for planktonic and sessile (biofilm) cells of *Candida parapsilosis* complex species.

| Species                              | Antifungal agent            | Type of MIC*<br>(n° of isolates) | No. of isolates for which the MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) was: |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------|------|------|-----|----|----|---|----------|-----------|----|-----------|
|                                      |                             |                                  | $\leq 0,03$   | 0,06 | 0,12 | 0,25 | 0,5 | 1  | 2  | 4 | $\geq 8$ | $\geq 16$ | 32 | $\geq 64$ |
| <i>C. parapsilosis sensu stricto</i> | Amphotericin B <sup>c</sup> | Planktonic MIC <sup>a</sup> (77) |   |      | 2    | 25   | 43  | 7  |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC <sup>b</sup> (33)   |   |      |      |      |     | 4  | 4  | 3 | 3        | 19        |    |           |
|                                      | Caspofungin <sup>d</sup>    | Planktonic MIC (77)              | 1   |      |      | 2    | 14  | 49 | 11 |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (33)                |   |      |      |      |     | 3  |    |   | 30       |           |    |           |
|                                      | Fluconazole <sup>e</sup>    | Planktonic MIC (77)              |   |      |      | 14   | 26  | 26 | 10 | 1 |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (33)                |   |      |      | 1    |     | 1  | 3  |   | 1        | 1         | 1  | 25        |
| Voriconazole <sup>e</sup>            | Planktonic MIC (77)         | 66                               | 9   | 1    | 1    |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      | Biofilm SMIC (33)           |                                  |   |      |      |      |     |    | 2  | 2 | 29       |           |    |           |
| <i>C. orthopsilosis</i>              | Amphotericin B              | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      |      |     | 2  |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (2)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          | 2         |    |           |
|                                      | Caspofungin                 | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      |      | 1   | 1  |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (2)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   | 2        |           |    |           |
|                                      | Fluconazole                 | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      |      |     | 1  | 1  |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (2)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           | 2  |           |
| Voriconazole                         | Planktonic MIC (2)          | 1                                |   | 1    |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      | Biofilm SMIC (2)            |                                  |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   | 2        |           |    |           |
| <i>C. metapsilosis</i>               | Amphotericin B              | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      | 1    | 1   |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (0)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      | Caspofungin                 | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      | 1    | 1   |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (0)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      | Fluconazole                 | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   |      |      |      |     |    | 1  |   |          | 1         |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (0)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      | Voriconazole                | Planktonic MIC (2)               |   | 1    |      |      | 1   |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |
|                                      |                             | Biofilm SMIC (0)                 |   |      |      |      |     |    |    |   |          |           |    |           |

<sup>a</sup>Planktonic MICs were determined by the CLSI broth microdilution method, whereas <sup>b</sup>biofilm SMICs were measured by the XTT reduction assay.

<sup>c</sup>Amphotericin B and Voriconazole were tested at concentrations from 0.03 to 16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . <sup>d</sup>Caspofungin concentrations ranged from 0.015 to 8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , while <sup>e</sup>Fluconazole was tested at concentrations ranging from 0.015 to 64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ .



**Table 3:** Antifungal susceptibility data (expressed in  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for *Candida parapsilosis* complex species growing in planktonic and sessile states.

| Species (no. of isolates)                 | Antifungal agent | Planktonic cells       |                                |                                |                 | Sessile cells           |                                 |
|---|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
|   |                  | MIC <sup>a</sup> range | MIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>b</sup> | MIC <sub>90</sub> <sup>b</sup> | GM <sup>c</sup> | SMIC <sup>d</sup> range | SMIC <sub>50</sub> <sup>e</sup> |
| <i>C. parapsilosis sensu stricto</i> (77) | Amphotericin B   | 0.12- 1                | 0.5                            | 0.5                            | 0.35            | 1 - $\geq 16$           | $\geq 16$                       |
|   | Caspofungin      | 0.03 - 2               | 1                              | 1                              | 0.37            | 1 - $\geq 8$            | $\geq 8$                        |
|   | Fluconazole      | 0.25 - 16              | 0.5                            | 1                              | 1.00            | 0.25 - $\geq 64$        | $\geq 64$                       |
|   | Voriconazole     | $\leq 0.03 - 0.25$     | $\leq 0.03$                    | $\leq 0.03$                    | 0.06            | 4 - $\geq 16$           | $\geq 16$                       |
| <i>C. orthopsilosis</i> (2)               | Amphotericin B   | 1                      | -                              | -                              | -               | $\geq 16$               | -                               |
|   | Caspofungin      | 0.25 - 0.5             | -                              | -                              | -               | $\geq 8$                | -                               |
|   | Fluconazole      | 1 - 2                  | -                              | -                              | -               | $\geq 64$               | -                               |
|   | Voriconazole     | $\leq 0.03 - 0.12$     | -                              | -                              | -               | $\geq 16$               | -                               |
| <i>C. metapsilosis</i> (2)                | Amphotericin B   | 0.25 - 0.5             | -                              | -                              | -               | -                       | -                               |
|   | Caspofungin      | 0.5 - 1                | -                              | -                              | -               | -                       | -                               |
|   | Fluconazole      | 2 - 16                 | -                              | -                              | -               | -                       | -                               |
|   | Voriconazole     | 0.06 - 0.5             | -                              | -                              | -               | -                       | -                               |

<sup>a</sup>MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration

<sup>b</sup>MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>: MIC at which 50% and 90% of the isolates were inhibited.

<sup>c</sup>Geometric means

<sup>d</sup>SMIC: Minimum concentration of antifungal agents needed to reduce metabolic activity of the biofilms by 50%.

<sup>e</sup>SMIC50: SMIC at which 50% of the biofilms were inhibited.

## BIBLIOGRAFIA ADICIONAL

Abgueguen P, Gouello JP, Pichard E, et al. *Candida* endocarditis: retrospective study in 12 patients. Rev Med Intern 2002, 23(1):30-40

Almirante BJ, Alonso-Tarres L, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Pahissa A. Epidemiology, risk factors and prognosis of *Candida parapsilosis* bloodstream infections: case-control population-based surveillance study of patients in Barcelona, from 2002 to 2003. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(5):1681–1685.

Ashford B. Certain conditions of the gastrointestinal tract in Puerto Rico and their relation to tropical sprue. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1928; 8:507–538.

Bagheri F, Cervellione KL, Maruf M, Marino W, Santucci T Jr. *Candida parapsilosis* meningitis associated with shunt infection in an adult male. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2010 Apr; 112(3):248-51

Benjamin Dk ,DeLong E, Cotton CM, Garges HP, Steinbach WJ, Clark RH. Mortality Following Blood Culture in Premature Infants: Increased with Gram-negative Bacteremia and Candidemia, but Not Gram-positive Bacteremia. J Perinatol 2004 Mar, 24(3): 175-80.

Bernardis F, Mondello F, San Millan R, Ponton J, Cassone A. Biotyping and virulence properties of skin isolates of *Candida parapsilosis*. J Clin Microbiol 1999 Nov; 37(11), 3481–6.

Bonassoli L A, Bertoli M, Svidzinski TI. High frequency of *Candida parapsilosis* on the hands of healthy hosts. J Hosp Infect 2005 Feb; 59(2):159–162.

Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Leidich SD, Faddoul FF, Hoyer LL, Douglas LJ, et al. Antifungal Resistance of *Candida* Biofilms Formed on Denture Acrylic *in vitro*. J Dent Res. 2001 Mar; 80(3):903-8.

Chen KH, Chang CT, Yu CC, Huang JY, Yang CW, Hung CC. *Candida parapsilosis* peritonitis has more complications than other *Candida* peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients. Ren Fail. 2006; 28(3):241-6.

Douglas LJ. *Candida* biofilms and their role in infection. Trends Microbiol 2003 Jan; 11(1):30-6.

Fell JW, Meyer SA. Systematics of yeast species in the *Candida parapsilosis* group. Mycopathol Mycol Appl 1967; 32(3):177–193.

Ferreira JAG, Carr JH, Starling CEF, Resende MA, Donlan RM. Biofilm Formation and Effect of Caspofungin on Biofilm Structure of *Candida* Species Bloodstream Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009 Oct; 53(10): 4377–4384.

Fidel PL, Vazquez JA, Sobel JD. *Candida glabrata*: Review of Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, and Clinical Disease with Comparison to *C. albicans*. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; 12(1): 80.

Figueiredo VT, Assis SD, Resende MA, Hamdan JS. Identification and in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing of 200 clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. responsible for fingernail infections. *Mycopathologia*. 2007 Jul; 164(1):27-33.

Flemming HC. Biofilms and Environmental Protection. *Water Sci. Technol* 1993; 27 (7-8): 1-10.

Foxman B, Marsh J, Gillespie B, Jack D. Sobel. Frequency and response to vaginal symptoms among white and African American women: results of a random digit dialing survey. *J Womens Health* 1998 Nov; 7(9): 1167–74.

Fusek M, Smith EA, Monod M, Foundling SI. *Candida parapsilosis* expresses and secretes two aspartic proteinases. *FEBS Lett* 1993 Jul ; 327(1):108-12.

Fusek M, Smith EA, Monod M, Dunn BM, Foundling SI. Extracellular aspartic proteinases from *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis*, and *Candida parapsilosis* yeasts differ substantially in their specificities. *Biochemistry* 1994 Aug 16; 33(32):9791-9.

Garcia-Effron G, Canton E, Pemán J, Dilger A, Romá E, Perlin DS. Epidemiology and echinocandin susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis sensu lato* species isolated from bloodstream infections at a Spanish university hospital. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012 Nov ; 67(11):2739-48

Garzoni C, Nobre VA, Garbino J. *Candida parapsilosis* endocarditis: a comparative review of the literature. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007 Dec; 26(12):915–926.

Gautret P, Rodier MH, Kauffmann LC, Jacquemin JL. Case Report and Review: onychomycosis due to *Candida parapsilosis*. *Mycoses* 2000; 43(11-12):433-5.

Ghannoum MA. Potential role of phospholipases virulence and fungal pathogenesis. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2000 Jan; 13(1):122-43.

Gravina HG, Morán EG, Zambrano O, Chourio ML, Valero SR, Robertis S et al. Oral Candidiasis in children and adolescents with cancer. Identification of *Candida* spp. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2000 Oct ; 12(6): E419–23.

Gupta AK, Kohli Y. Evaluation of in vitro Resistance in Patients with Onychomycosis Who Fail Antifungal Therapy. *Dermatology* 2003; 207(4):375-80

Hossain H, Ansarei F, Schulz-Weedmer N, Wetzel W-E, Chakraborty T, Domann E. Clonal identity of *Candidas albicans* in the oral cavity and the gastrointestinal tract of pre-school children. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 2003 Oct 18(5):302-8.

Ibrahim AS, Mirbod F, Filler SG, Banno Y, Cole GT, Kitajima Y, et al. Evidence implicating phospholipase as a virulence factor of *C. albicans*. *Infect Immun* 1995 May; 63(5): 1993–1998.

Kantarcioglu AS, Yucel A. Phospholipase and protease activities in clinical *Candida* isolates with reference to the sources of strains. *Mycoses* 2002 Jun; 45(5-6):160-5.

- Katragkou A, Chatzimoschou A, Simitopoulou M, Dalakiouridou M, Diza-Mataftsi E, Tsantali C, et al. Differential activities of newer antifungal agents against *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008 Jan; 52(1): 357-60.
- Kojic EM, Darouichee RO. *Candida* infections of medical devices. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004 Apr; 17(2): 255–267.
- Kuhn DM, Mikherjee DM, Clark TA, Pujol C, Chandra J, Hajjeh RA, et al. *Candida parapsilosis* characterization in an outbreak setting. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004 Jun; 10(6):1074–1081.
- Kuhn DM, George T, Chandra J, Mukherjee PK, Ghannoum MA. Antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* biofilms: unique efficacy of amphotericin B lipid formulations and echinocandins. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* Jun 2002; 46(6):1773–1780.
- Lass-Flör C. The changing face of epidemiology of invasive fungal disease in Europe. *Mycoses* May 2009; 52(3): 197–205.
- Levy I, Rubin LG, Vasishtha S, Tucci V, Sood SK. Emergence of *Candida parapsilosis* as the Predominant Species Causing Candidemia in Children. *Clin Infect Dis* 1998 May; 26(5):1086–8
- Liguori G, Di OV, Lucariello A, Gallé F, Signoriello G, Colella G, et al. Oral candidiasis: a comparison between conventional methods and multiplex polymerase chain reaction for species identification. *Oral Microbiol. Immunol* 2009 Feb; 24(1): 76–78.
- Lin D, Wu LC, Rinaldi MG, Lehmann PF 1995. Three distinct genotypes within *Candida parapsilosis* from clinical sources. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995 Jul 33(7); 1815–21.
- Lott T J, Kuykendall RJ, Welbel SF, Pramanik A, Lasker BA. Genomic heterogeneity in the yeast *Candida parapsilosis*. *Curr Genet* 1993 May-Jun; 23(5-6):463-7.
- McGinley KJ, Larson EL, Leyden JJ. Composition and density of microflora in the subungual space of the hand. *J Clin Microbiol* 1988 May; 26(5): 950–953.
- Melo AS, Colombo AL, Arthington-Skaggs BA. Paradoxical Growth Effect of Caspofungin Observed on Biofilms and Planktonic Cells of Five Different *Candida* Species. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007 Sept; 51(9):3081–3088
- Merkerová M, Dostal J, Hradilek M, Pichova I, Hruskova- Heidingsfeldova O. Cloning and characterization of Sapp2p, the second aspartic proteinase isoenzyme from *Candida parapsilosis*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 2006 Nov; 6(7): 1018-26.
- Miranda LN, Rodrigues ECA, Costa SF, Heijden IMV, Dantas KC, Lobo RD, et al. *Candida parapsilosis* candidaemia in a neonatal unit over 7 years: a case series study. *BMJ Open* 2012; 2(4): e000992 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-000992
- Mittelman M W. Structure and functional characteristics of bacterial biofilms in fluid processing operations. *J Dairy Sci.* 1998 Oct; 81(10):2760-4.

Moudgal V, Little T, Boikov D, Vazquez JA. Multiechinocandin- and multiazole-resistant *Candida parapsilosis* isolates serially obtained during therapy for prosthetic valve endocarditis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005 Feb; 49(2):767-9.

Odds FC, Brown AJ, Gow NA. Antifungal agents: mechanisms of action. *Trends Microbiol* 2003 Jun; 11(6):272-9.

Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Rex JH, Pappas PG, Hamill RJ, Larsen RA, Horowitz HW, et al. Antifungal susceptibility survey of 2,000 bloodstream *Candida* isolates in the United States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003 Oct; 47(10): 3149–3154

Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Twelve years of fluconazole in clinical practice: global trends in species distribution and fluconazole susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of *Candida*. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2004 Mar; 10 Suppl 1:11-23.

Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Progress in Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of *Candida* spp. By Use of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Broth Microdilution Methods, 2010 to 2012. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012 Sep; 50(9):2846-56.

Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Ng KP, Colombo A. Geographic and temporal trends in isolation and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis*: a global assessment from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program, 2001 to 2005 *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008 Mar; 46(3):842-9

Pfaller MA, Moet GJ, Messer SA, Jones RN, Castanheira M. *Candida* bloodstream infections: comparison of species distributions and antifungal resistance patterns in community-onset and nosocomial isolates in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 2008-2009. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011 Feb; 55(2):561-6.

Pichova I, Pavlickova L, Dostal J, Dolejsi E, Hruskova-Heidingsfeldova O, Weber J, et al. Secreted aspartic proteases of *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida lusitanae*. Inhibition with peptidomimetic inhibitors. *Eur J Biochem*. 2001 May; 268(9):2669-77.

Pierrotti LC, Baddour LM. Fungal endocarditis, 1995–2000. *Chest*. 2002 Jul;122(1):302-10.

Ruiz LS, Khouri S, Hahn RC, Silva EG, Oliveira VPO, Gandra RF, et al. Candidemia by Species of the *Candida parapsilosis* Complex in Children's Hospital: Prevalence, Biofilm Production and Antifungal Susceptibility. *Mycopathologia* 2013 Apr; 175(3-4):231-9.

Ruping MJGT, Vehreschild JJ, Cornely OA. Patients at High Risk of Invasive Fungal Infections. *Drugs* 2008; 68 (14): 1941-1962.

Ruzicka F, Holá V, Votava M, Tejkalová R. Importance of biofilm in *Candida parapsilosis* and evaluation of its susceptibility to antifungal agents by colorimetric method. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 2007; 52(3):209-14.

Safdar A, Perling DS, Armstrong D. Hematogenous infections due to *Candida parapsilosis*: changing trends in fungemic patients at a comprehensive cancer center during the last four decades. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002 Sep; 44(1): 11–16.

Sarvikivi E, Lyytikäinen O, Soll DR, Pujol C, Pfaller MA, Richardson M, et al. Emergence of fluconazole resistance in a *Candida parapsilosis* strain that caused infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005 Jun; 43(6): 2729-35.

Silva S, Henriques M, Martins A, Oliveira R, Williams D, Azeredo J. Biofilms of non-*Candida albicans* *Candida* species: quantification, structure and matrix composition. *Med Mycol* 2009 Nov; 47(7): 681-9.

Tumbarello M, Posteraro B, Trecarichi EM, Fiori B, Rossi M, Porta R, et al. Biofilm production by *Candida* species and inadequate antifungal therapy as predictor of mortality for patients with candidemia. *J Clin Microbiol* Jun 2007; 45(6): 1843–1850.

van Asbeck EC, Huang YC, Markham AN, Clemons KV, Stevens DA. *Candida parapsilosis* fungemia in neonates: genotyping results suggest healthcare workers hands as source, and review of published studies. *Mycopathologia* 2007 Dec; 164(6):287-93.

Vennwald I, Schonlebe J, Klemm E. Mycological and histological investigations in humans with middle ear infections. *Mycoses* 2003 Feb;46(1-2):12-8.

Vermelho AB, Mazotto AM, Melo ACN, Vieira FHC, Duarte TR, Macrae A, et al. Identification of a *Candida parapsilosis* Strain Producing Extracellular Serine Peptidase with Keratinolytic Activity. *Mycopathologia* 2010 Jan; 169(1):57-65.

Wang AY, Yu AW, Li PK, Lam PK, Leung CB, Lai KN, et al. Factors predicting outcome of fungal peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis: analysis of a 9-year experience of fungal peritonitis in a single center. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000 Dec; 36(6):1183-92.

Weems JJ Jr. *Candida parapsilosis*: epidemiology, pathogenicity, clinical manifestations, and antimicrobial susceptibility. *Clin Infect Dis* Mar 1992; 14(3):756–766.

Yang YL, Li SY, Cheng HH, Lo HJ. Susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in TSARY 2002. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005 Mar; 51(3):179-83.

Yinnon AM, Gabay D, Raveh D, Schlesinger Y, Slotki I, Attias D. Comparison of peritoneal fluid culture results from adults and children undergoing CAPD. *Perit Dial Int* 1999 Jan -Feb; 19(1):51-5.

Zancope–Oliveira RM, James MJ, Derossi AP, Sampaio JL, Muniz MM, Li RK, Nascimento AS, Peralta JM, Reiss E. Strain characterization of *Candida parapsilosis* fungemia by molecular typing methods. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* Jul 2000; 19(7): 514–20.

Zhang JY, Liu JH, Liu FD, Xia YH, Wang J, Xi Liu X, Zhang ZQ, Zhu N, Yan Y, Ying Y, Huang XT. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: species distribution, fluconazole resistance and drug efflux pump gene overexpression. *Mycoses* 2014 doi:10.1111/myc.12204